

## **Remarks/Arguments**

### ***Claim Summary***

By this Amendment, claims 1 and 5 have been revised, and accordingly, Claims 1-2, 5-6 and 9-18 remain pending in the application.

### ***36 U.S.C. ¶112, first paragraph***

By this Amendment, claims 1 and 5 have been revised to delete the phrase “ $m + n = 1$ ”, thus rendering moot the rejection under 36 U.S.C. ¶112, first paragraph.

### ***35 U.S.C. ¶102 and ¶103 – Kinsho et al.***

Claims 1-2, 5-6 and 9-18 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. ¶102 or ¶103 as being unpatentable over Kinsho et al. (US 6312867).

By this Amendment, claims 1 and 5 have been revised to state that the polymers thereof “consists of” the monomers set forth in these claims.

Applicants respectfully contend that Claims 1-2, 5-6 and 9-18 are neither anticipated by, nor obvious in view of, the teachings of Kinsho et al. for the same reasons as stated in the Request For Reconsideration filed February 13, 2004.

***Conclusion***

No other issues remaining, reconsideration and favorable action upon the Claims 1-2, 5-6 and 9-18 now-pending in the application are requested.

Respectfully submitted,

SANG-JUN CHOI ET AL.

By:



Adam C. Volentine  
Reg. No. 33,289

August 9, 2004

VOLENTINE FRANCOS, PLLC  
12200 Sunrise Valley Drive, Suite 150  
Reston, VA 20191  
(703) 715-0870